IEEE P1003.2 Draft 11.2 – September 1991

effort to contact the objector to resolve this and get a newly worded objection.) Further examples of unresponsive submittals are those not marked as either *Objection* or *Comment* ; those that do not identify the portion of the document that is being objected to (each objection must be separately labeled); those that object to material in a 1
recirculation that has not changed and do not cite an unresolved 1
objection; those that do not provide specific or general guidance on what changes would be required to resolve the objection.

(c) Finally, others are valid technical points, but they would result in decreasing the consensus of the Balloting Group. (This judgment is made based on other ballots and on the experiences of the working group through almost five years of work and fifteen drafts preceding this one.) These are referred to as *Unresolved Objections* . Summaries of unresolved objections and their reasons for rejection are maintained throughout the balloting process, are circulated to members of the Balloting Group for their consideration, and are presented to the IEEE Standards Board when the final draft is
offered for approval. Unresolved objections are only circulated to 2
the balloting group when they are presented by members of the bal- 2
loting group or by parties of interest. Unsolicited correspondence 2
from outside these two groups may result in draft changes, but are 2
not recirculated to the balloting group members. 2

Please ensure that you correctly characterize your ballot by providing one of the following:

(1) Your IEEE member number

(2) Your IEEE Computer Society affiliate number

(3) If (1) or (2) don't apply, a statement that you are a ''Party of Interest''

Ballot Resolution

The general procedure for resolving ballots is:

(1) The balloting cuts off on 21 October 1991. This is a receipt date at the IEEE , not a postmark date. (Please do not telephone or FAX on 21 October 1991 and say that your specific comments will come later; late-arriving comments will not be considered as objections.) We will accept comments after that date, including direct e-mail to the working group officers or the Technical Reviewers, but they will be treated as comments only—not objections. And we don't guarantee a written response to these late submissions.

(2) The ballots are put online and distributed to the Technical Reviewers.

(3) If a ballot contains an objection, the balloter will be contacted individually by telephone, letter, or e-mail and the corrective action to be taken will be described (or negotiated). The personal contact will most likely not occur if the objection is very simple and obvious to fix or the balloter cannot be reached after a few reasonable attempts. Repeated failed